Taking risky opportunities in youthful content creation: teenagers' use of social networking sites for intimacy, privacy and self-expression^{1,2}

Tomando oportunidades arriscadas na criação de conteúdo jovem: o uso pelos adolescentes de sites de redes sociais para intimidade, privacidade e expressão própria

Aprovechando oportunidades arriesgadas en la creación de contenido joven: El uso por adolescentes de webs de redes sociales para la intimidad, la privacidad y la expresión propia

Sonia Livingstone³

Abstract The explosion in social networking sites such as MySpace, Facebook, Bebo and Friendster is widely regarded as an exciting opportunity, especially for youth. Yet the public response tends to be one of puzzled dismay regarding, supposedly, a generation with many friends but little sense of privacy and a narcissistic fascination with self-display. This article explores teenagers" practices of social networking in order to uncover the subtle connections between online opportunity and risk. While younger teenagers relish the opportunities to continuously recreate a highly decorated, stylistically elaborate identity, older teenagers favour a plain aesthetic that foregrounds their links to others, thus expressing a notion of identity lived through authentic relationships. The article further contrasts teenagers" graded conception of "friends" with the binary

¹ Published as Livingstone, S. (2008) Taking risky opportunities in youthful content creation: teenagers' use of social networking sites for intimacy, privacy and self-expression. New Media & Society, 10(3): 393-411. Available in Sage Journal Online (Sage Publications Ltd. – All rights reserved): http://nms.sagepub.com/content/10/3/393.abstract

²Thanks to the Research Council of Norway for funding the Mediatized Stories: Mediation Perspectives On Digital Storytelling Among Youth of which this project is part. I also thank David Brake, Shenja van der Graaf, Angela Jones, Ellen Helsper, Maria Kyriakidou, Annie Mullins, Toshie Takahashi, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments on an earlier version of this article. Last, thanks to the teenagers who participated in this project.

³ Sonia Livingstone is Professor of Social Psychology in the Department of Media and Communications at the London School of Economics and Political Science. She is author or editor of ten books and 100+ academic articles and chapters in the fields of media audiences, children and the internet, domestic contexts of media use and media literacy. Recent books include Young People and New Media (Sage, 2002), The Handbook of New Media (edited, with Leah Lievrouw, Sage, 2006), and Public Connection? Media Consumption and the Presumption of Attention (with Nick Couldry and Tim Markham, Palgrave, 2007). She currently directs the thematic research network, EU Kids Online, for the EC's Safer Internet Plus programme. Email s.livingstone@lse.ac.uk

classification of social networking sites, this being one of several means by which online privacy is shaped, and undermined, by the affordances of these sites. **Keywords:** Social networking sites. Online communication. Identity. Teenagers and youth. Privacy.

Resumo A explosão de sites de rede social, tais como MySpace, Facebook, Bebo e Friendster, é em grande parte vista como uma oportunidade emocionante, principalmente para a juventude. No entanto, a resposta do público tende a ser uma intrigante estupefação pelo que parece ser uma geração com muitos amigos, mas pouco senso de privacidade e com um fascínio narcisista com a exposição do self (self-display). Este estudo explora as práticas de redes sociais (networking) dos adolescentes, propondo desvelar as conexões sutis entre oportunidade e risco on-line. Enquanto os adolescentes mais jovens se deleitam com as oportunidades de recriar continuamente uma identidade altamente decorativa e estilisticamente elaborada, os adolescentes mais velhos optam por uma estética mais simples que ressalte suas ligações com os outros, expressando assim uma noção de identidade vivenciada através de relacionamentos autênticos. Este artigo também contrasta a concepção graduada que os adolescentes têm dos seus "amigos" com a classificação binária de sites de redes sociais, sendo esta uma das várias maneiras pelas quais a privacidade on-line é modelada, e enfraquecida, pelas affordances desses sites.

Palavras-chave: Sites de redes sociais. Comunicação on-line. Identidade. Adolescentes e juventude. Privacidade.

Resumen La explosión de sitios de red social como MySpace, Facebook, Bebo y Friendster se ve, en gran medida, como una oportunidad apasionante, principalmente por parte de los jóvenes. Sin embargo, la respuesta del público tiende a ser de intrigado asombro hacia lo que parece ser una generación con muchos amigos, pero con poco sentido de la privacidad y una fascinación narcisista por la exposición del self (self-display). Este estudio investiga las prácticas de las redes sociales (networking) de los adolescentes, proponiendo revelar las sutiles conexiones entre oportunidades y riesgos on-line. Mientras los adolescentes más jóvenes disfrutan con la posibilidad de volver a crear continuamente una identidad altamente decorativa y estilísticamente elaborada, los más mayores optan por una estética más sencilla que resalte sus conexiones con los demás, expresando así una noción de identidad vivida a través de relaciones auténticas. Este artículo también establece el contraste entre la concepción graduada que los adolescentes tienen de sus "amigos" con la clasificación binaria de webs de redes sociales, siendo esta una de las diversas formas por las que la privacidad on-line se modela, y debilita, por las affordances de esas webs.

Palabras-clave: Webs de redes sociales. Comunicación on-line. Identidad. Adolescentes y juventude. Privacidad.

Data de submissão: 13/04/2012 Data de aceite: 15/06/2012

Introduction

Young people have always devoted attention to the presentation of self. Friendships have always been made, displayed and broken. Strangers - unknown, weird or frightening - have always hovered on the edge of the group. And adult onlookers have often been puzzled by youthful peer practices. Yet the recent explosion in online social networking sites such as MySpace, Facebook, Bebo and others has attracted considerable interest from the academy, policy makers, parents and young people themselves, the repeated claim being that something new is taking place. What, then, is distinctive about the youthful construction of self and peer relations, now that this is, increasingly, mediated by social networking sites?

In terms of their affordances, social networking sites enable communication among ever-widening circles of contacts, and they invite convergence among the hitherto separate activities of email, messaging, website creation, diaries, photo albums, and music/video uploading and downloading. From the user's viewpoint, more than ever before using media means creating as well as receiving, with user-control extending far beyond selecting ready-made, mass produced content. The very language of social relationships is being reframed; today, people construct their 'profile', make it 'public' or 'private', they 'comment' or 'message' their 'top friends' on their 'wall', they 'block' or 'add' people to their network, and so forth.

It seems that creating and networking online content is becoming, for many, an integral means of managing one's identity, lifestyle and social relations. In the UK, MySpace is by far the most popular social network, with 6.5 million unique visitors in May 2007, followed by 4.0 million for Bebo and 3.2 million for Facebook. US figures are far higher, with 38.4 million unique visitors to MySpace in May 2006.⁴ Young people are in the vanguard of social networking practices: 31%

⁴ Nielsen//Netratings (2006) 'Social Networking Sites Grow 47 Percent, Year over Year, Reaching 45 Percent of Web Users', 11 May, URL (consulted 26 November 2007): http://www.nielsen-netratings.com/pr/pr_060511.pdf

of MySpace users are under 18 years old, as are 54% of Bebo users;⁵ in the US, 6.6 million unique users aged 12-17 visited MySpace in August 2006;⁶ across Europe, 32% of online 16-24 year olds use social networking sites at least monthly.⁷

Optimistic accounts stress new opportunities for self-expression, sociability, community engagement, creativity and new literacies. Critical scholars argue that youthful content creation will counter the traditional dominance of consumers by producers and facilitate an innovative peer culture among young people locally and globally. Public policy makers hope that media literacy skills developed through social networking will transfer to support online learning and participation and to protect youth from online risks associated with transgressive representations of the self and abusive contact with others. Popular and media discourses all too often reflect a puzzled dismay that young people live in such a different world from the (nostalgically remembered) youth of today's adults.

Media panics amplify the public anxieties associated with social networking. The 'MySpace generation', they suggest, has no sense of privacy or shame. One attention-getting headline read, "Generation shock finds liberty online: the children of the internet age are ready to bare their bodies and souls in a way their parents never could'. And another claimed: 'Kids today. They have no sense of shame. They have no sense of privacy.' Moreover, social networkers are supposedly wholly narcissistic: "MySpace is about me, me, me, and look at me and look at me". In short, it is commonly held that, at best, social networking is time-wasting

⁵ BBC News (2006) 'Social Sites Wrestle for Top Spot', 24 May, URL (consulted 26 November 2007): http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/technology/5012194.stm

 $^{^6}$ Comscore (2006) 'More than Half of MySpace Visitors Are Now Age 35 or Older', 5 October, URL (consulted 6 July 2007): http://www.comscore.com/press/release.asp?press=1019

⁷ EIAA (2006) 'Social Networking to Drive Next Wave of Internet Usage', 29 November, URL (consulted 6 July 2007): http://www.eiaa.net/news/eiaa-articles-details.asp?id106&lang=1

Sunday Times (2007) 'Generation Shock Finds Liberty Online', 25 February, URL (consulted 30 January 2008): http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/article1433751.ece

⁹ Nussbaum, E. (2007) 'Say Everything', New York Magazine, 12 February, URL (consulted 6 July 2007): http:// nymag.com/news/features/27341/

¹⁰ Fairfax Digital News (2007) "Turning Japanese", 1 March, URL (consulted 30 January 2008): http://www.theage.com.au/news/web/turning-japanese/2007/02/28/1172338633250.html

and socially isolating and, at worst, it allows paedophiles to groom children in their bedroom11 or sees teenagers lured into suicide pacts while parents think they are doing their homework.

Mediating social networking

For once it seems that the academy has kept pace with market innovation and social practice. Usefully countering the hype, a rapidly expanding body of empirical research is examining how people create personal profiles, network with familiar and new contacts and participate in various forms of online community (boyd, 2006; boyd and Ellison, 2007; Hinduja and Patching, in press; Lenhart and Madden, 2007). Certain trends are already apparent, these challenging the simple distinctions with which new media research began. Notably, despite the potential for global networking, most people's contacts are local, with stronger ties centred on pre-existing study or work contexts (Haythornthwaite, 2001), especially among teenagers (Gross, 2004); although niche networks are often geographically spread, interest in 'strangers' or distant others is minimal (Boneva, Quinn, Kraut, Kiesler, and Shklovski, 2006; Mesch and Talmud, 2007). This does not, however, mean that face to face communication is being displaced. Indeed, while social networking is to some degree displacing other forms of online communication (email, chatrooms, website creation), it incorporates others (instant messaging, blogging, music downloading) and remediates yet more (most notably, face to face and telephone communication) (Bolter and Grusin, 1999; Jenkins, 2006). Consequently, the simple distinction between offline and online no longer captures the complex practices associated with online technologies as they become thoroughly embedded in the routines of everyday life (Bakardjieva, 2005; Silverstone, 2006).

¹¹ I do not mean, here, to deny that such cases occur.

These insights centre on emerging social practices with online social networking. Less is known about the specific contribution of social networking sites in shaping these practices, if any. To understand the relation between the two, the notion of mediation – social and technological – permits us to avoid a technologically deterministic account while acknowledging the shaping role of both technology and social practices (Bakardjieva, 2005). Hjarvard (2006: 5) proposes that:

'mediation refers to the communication through one or more media through which the message and the relation between sender and receiver are influenced by the affordances and constraints of the specific media and genres involved'.

Thus, while all communication is necessarily mediated, an empirical account of the specific forms and practices associated with a particular medium is warranted. In a complementary fashion, drawing on Gibson's ecological psychology, Hutchby (2001: 44) theorises the mutuality between technological shaping and social practices thus:

'affordances are functional and relational aspects which frame, while not determining, the possibilities for agentic action in relation to an object. In this way, technologies can be understood as artefacts which may be both shaped by and shaping of the practices humans use in interaction with, around and through them'.

This article combines these perspectives with a child-centred, qualitative methodology (Livingstone, 1998) in order to explore teenagers' practices of social networking. A child-centred approach means that, in addition to understanding the affordances of social networking sites, the analysis should acknowledge young people's experiences, and it should situate their social networking practices within an account of the changing conditions of childhood and youth (James, Jenks and Prout, 1998). As I have argued elsewhere, the tensions over children's media use often

stem from underlying changes in the positioning of childhood and youth *vis a vis* parents, school and community (Livingstone, 2002). Today's teenagers live through an 'extended youth', historically speaking, staying young for longer in terms of education and economic dependence but becoming independent younger in terms of sexuality, leisure and consumption (Gadlin, 1978).

Hence, for teenagers, the online realm may be enthusiastically adopted because it represents 'their' space, visible to the peer group more than to adult surveillance, an exciting yet relatively safe opportunity to conduct the social psychological task of adolescence – to construct, experiment with, and present a reflexive project of the self in a social context (Buchner, Bois-Reymond and Kruger, 1995; Giddens, 1991) as well as, for some, for flouting communicative norms and other risk-taking behaviours (Hope, 2007; Kerr and Stattin, 2000; Liau, Khoo, and Ang, 2005; Wolak, Mitchell, and Finkelhor, 2006). Indeed, it seems that even normatively valued online activities are correlated, in practice, with risky activities regarding online content, contact and conduct, suggesting that what, for an adult observer, may seem risky is, for a teenager, often precisely the opportunity they seek (Livingstone and Helsper, 2007); this complicates straightforward policy attempts to maximise the former while minimising the latter.

The complex relation between opportunity and risk is not distinctive to the internet but is, rather, a feature of adolescence. Erikson (1959/1980) observed, the adolescent must develop and gain confidence in an ego identity that is simultaneously autonomous and socially valued, and that balances critical judgement and trust, inner unity and acceptance of societal expectations. Thus they must make judgments that are difficult offline as well as online – whom to trust, what to reveal about yourself, how to establish reciprocity, when to express emotion, and so on. By examining how online identity and peer relations are shaped by both peer culture and the affordances of networking software, my purpose here is to show how online opportunities and risks are interconnected.

Interviews with teenagers

A series of open-ended individual interviews were conducted with 16 teenagers in their homes (Table 1). Their ages ranged from 13-16 years old, half were girls and half boys, most were white but several were black or mixed race, and they spanned the range of socioeconomic status categories as well as urban, suburban and rural locations in the Greater London area. All had home access to the internet (though in a few cases, this was not working at the time of the interview) and all had their own personal profile on MySpace, Facebook, Bebo, Piczo or similar, which they had visited at least once per week in recent months.

Interviewees were recruited by a market research agency in July 2007 and interviewed by the author. Teenagers and their parents received a written explanation of the research aims, methods and ethics (addressing the answering of sensitive questions, respondent anonymity and confidentiality, data storage and publication of findings) before signing a consent form. Each received a modest honorarium. Interviews lasted around one hour, and comprised a free-flowing, open-ended discussion in front of the computer, while simultaneously going online to visit the interviewee's personal profile and those of others.

Given the overall concern with online identity and peer relations, as shaped by peer culture and social networking site affordances, along with implications for online opportunities and risks, the interview schedule addressed (a) the choices, motivations and literacies shaping the participant's own profile, (b) the semiotic and social 'reading' of others' profiles (in terms of conventions regarding form, identity and peer norms regarding transgressive or risky practices); and (c) the social and personal meanings of the contacts sustained online and their relation to offline friends in everyday life. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed before being coded, using Nvivo qualitative coding software, according to categories derived from the issues emergent in interviewees' responses as well as from the questions asked in the interviews.

Table 1. Interviewees' details.

School Year	Gender	
	Girls	Boys
Year 9	Danielle, 13, C1, Piczo Nicki, 14, AB, MySpace Daphne, 14, C2, MySpace, Bebo, ex-Piczo Jenny, 14, DE, MySpace, Bebo Elena, 14, DE, MySpace, Facebook, Bebo	Paul, 13, C2, Bebo, ex-MySpace Joshua, 14, AB, Facebook Billy, 14, C2, MySpace
Year 10	Ellie, 15, AB, Facebook, ex-MySpace	Ryan, 15, C1, Bebo, MySpace, ex-Piczo
Year 11	Nina, 15, C1, Facebook, ex-MySpace Sophie, 16, C2, MySpace	Leo, 16, AB, MySpace Danny, 16, C1, MySpace, Facebook Simon, 16, DE, MySpace Jason, 16, DE, MySpace

Note: Each interviewee's pseudonym is followed by their age, socioeconomic status (SES), and the social networking site they use/used. Household SES is categorised according to standard UK market research categories-AB (professional middle class), C1 (lower middle class), C2 (skilled working class) and DE (semi/unskilled working class). Year 9 is the third year of secondary school in the UK; year 11 is the final year of compulsory education.

Enacting identity

Strategies for representing the self were found to vary considerably. For example, Danielle's Piczo profile has a big welcome in sparkly pink, with music, photos, a love tester, guestbook, dedication pages, etc, all customised down to the scroll bars and cursor with pink candy stripes, glitter, angels, flowers, butterflies, hearts and more (because 'you can just change it all the time [and so] you can show different sides of yourself').

By contrast, Danny has not completed the basic Facebook options of noting his politics, religion or even his network ('I haven't bothered to write about myself'). Most profiles are designed, in one way or another, to provide 'a way of expressing who you are to other people', as Nina put it. Elena, who spends several hours each day updating and altering her MySpace, Facebook and Piczo profiles, says:

'I think layouts really show like who you are. So look at the rainbow in that. I think that would make you sound very like bubbly... I like to have different ones... it's different likes, different fashion, different feelings on that day'.

In response to this continual activity of re-presenting the self, Elena's friends have peppered her profile with nice comments - 'I'm always here for you', 'you're gorgeous', etc – as part of a reciprocal exchange of mutual support which she appreciates:

'it's nice like if you've got a nice picture of you and people are, oh, you look nice. It's like quite nice, I think when people say you're pretty'...I like it when they comment me because like it shows that they care'.

It should not be assumed that profiles are simply read as information about an individual. Jenny, like others, is well aware that people's profiles can be 'just a front'. For several of the interviewees, it seemed that position in the peer network is more significant than the personal information provided, rendering the profile a place-marker more than a self portrait. Initially, I misunderstood this – for example, on Leo's site there was a comment from his friend 'Blondie' saying that she's pregnant: when I ask, he observes that, of course, 'she's joking' – the point being to share (and display) their humorous relationship not a personal self-disclosure. A more sustained – and fairly common - instance is provided by Paul. A confident and sociable boy who 'got pulled into the world of Bebo' because 'everybody was talking about it', he has constructed his

profile as a joke. With a funny photo of him, it announces him to be 36, married, living in Africa, a person who likes to humiliate people and to get unconscious (- there were other examples of teenagers' playful, occasionally resistant, style – posting an image of their dog, for instance, instead of themselves). Yet in the interview, Paul takes little notice of this, since his brothers and friends (with whom he, like some others, has shared his password) have often changed his profile for fun. His profile is thus meaningful to him not as a means of displaying personal information about him to the world, as often supposed, but precisely because the joking content is evidence of his lively and trusting relations with his brothers and friends.

Pointing up the lack of a one-to-one match between users and profiles, a point also evident in the way some users maintain several profiles on different sites, Paul explains how the profile may display the peer group more than the individual:

'when we go out together, like they take photos on their phones and stuff and then they upload them on there... so everybody else can see what we've done and like see all of our friends and when we're together and it's just like remembering the time when we did it'.

Thus, although it indeed appears that, for many young people, social networking is 'all about me, me, me', this need not imply a narcissistic self-absorption. Rather, following Mead's (1934) fundamental distinction between the 'I' and the 'me' as twin aspects of the self, social networking is about 'me' in the sense that it reveals the self embedded in the peer group, as known to and represented by others, rather than the private 'I' known best by oneself.

Although teenagers tend to describe their social networking activities in terms of freely taken choices, when questioned more closely it appears that they are constrained in two ways: first by the norms and practices of their peer group and, second, by the affordances of the technological interface; various representations of 'adult society' (parents, media pan-

ics, etc.) also play a lesser role in alerting them to the risks of strangers, viruses, threats to privacy, etc. Below I explore these in turn, first showing how young people creatively use the different affordances of different sites to meet their changing identity needs, then, second, showing how young people also struggle to fit their interests and concerns within the structures offered by the sites.

Transitions in identity development

Although my intention was to recruit a narrow range of teenagers in terms of age (i.e. 13-16 year olds, a cohort commonly combined by survey research), it emerged that, collectively, my interviewees had a story to tell about their changing identity. Teenagers are acutely aware of the subtle differences between those a school year younger or older, this indicating perceived differences in identity, social position or maturity, and media choices are often used as markers of relative maturity (have you got your own television set yet? or seen a film classified for those older than you?; Livingstone, 2002). Intriguingly, in relation to social networking such identity development seemed to be expressed in terms of decisions regarding the style or choice of site. Nina, for example, moved from MySpace to Facebook, describing this somewhat tentatively as the transition from elaborate layouts for younger teenagers to the clean profile favoured by older teenagers:

'with profiles, everything [on MySpace] was all about having coloured backgrounds ... whereas I just suppose like Facebook I prefer to have, like older people, and it was more sophisticated, can I use that word? ... I found when I was 14 I always wanted to be like someone that was older than me... When I first got MySpace, I thought it was a really cool thing because all older people had it, and they were all having their templates and things like that... but I'm sort of past that stage now, and I'm more into the plain things'.

Ellie, 15, points to a similar distinction when comparing her Face-book profile with her 12 year old sister's use of MySpace:

'The reason they [younger girls] like MySpace seems to be because you can decorate your page with flowers and hearts and have glitter on it, whereas on this [Facebook] it's sort of a white background with not so much, it's just a photo and a name, which is pretty much the same for everyone. [Talking of herself] I can't really see the point. This isn't to show off about my personality. I'm not trying to say, oh, I love purple or I love hearts... It's more just like talking to three friends and, seeing as my friends know me, there's no real need for me to advertise my personality.... On MySpace, everyone's got these things like, I love this, I hate this, and trying to show off who they are and I just don't think that's necessary if these actually are your friends.'

Once sensitised to this stylistic shift, it became apparent to me that some teenagers preferred elaborately customised profiles while others favoured a plain aesthetic. Daphne, Danielle and Ryan enjoy changing the backgrounds and layouts of their profile every day, typically adopting a highly gender-stereotyped style of, for example, pink hearts and sparkly lettering for girls and black backgrounds with shiny expensive cars for boys. Yet Ryan, the oldest of the three, has a previous profile on Piczo that is more elaborate and busy than his current one on Bebo. The shift need not be effected by changing site: Nicki has remained on MySpace, since the critical mass of her friends use this site: she observes, 'it's funny how on MySpace you kind of go through phases where everyone might have a really busy... background, and then everyone will have a kind of plain background'. The flexibility of social networking sites in affording revisions of one's identity is welcome: Leo says, of his MySpace profile, 'the one I made before I thought I didn't really like it, so I thought I'd start again, I'd start a new one... [the previous profile] it was just, ... people I didn't like had the address, so I thought I should start fresh'. Note that he, unlike Ryan, changed his profile less because the personal information it displayed no longer represented his identity but because it was embedded in a network of peer connections from which he felt he had moved on.

What might this shift, whether managed by changing one's social networking site or just one's profile, signify for younger and older teenagers? Ziehe (1994: 2) argues of lifestyles that these should be recognised as 'collective ways of life... [which] point to common orientations of taste and interpretations; they demonstrate a certain group-specific succinctness of usage of signs'. In terms evocative of the social practices of online networking, he argues that lifestyles are characterised by, first, self-attention, a subjective disposition which 'raises the question ... of a successful life as an everyday expectation', second, stylisation, in which 'objects, situations and actions are placed into a coherent sign arrangement and "presented", and third, reflexivity, whereby 'life styles are an expression of an orientation pressure which has turned inwards. The new questions are "what do I actually want?" and "what matters to me?" [resulting in] an everyday semantic of self-observation and self-assessment' (p.11-12). Thus Ziehe suggests a way in which the project of the self is represented according to highly coded cultural conventions (here including technological interfaces) and social preferences (here embedded in the norms of consumer culture).

With this in mind, Ellie, Nina and others seem to suggest that, for younger teenagers, self-attention is enacted through constructing an elaborate, highly stylised statement of identity as display. Thus a visually ambitious, 'pick and mix' profile, that frequently remixes borrowed images and other content to express continually shifting tastes offers for some a satisfactorily 'successful' self, liked and admired by peers. But this notion of identity as display – which characterises Daphne and Danielle's profiles and, to a lesser degree, those of several of the others - is gradually replaced by the mutual construction among peers of a notion of identity through connection. On this alternative approach, elements of display are excised from the profile, replaced by the visual privileging of one's contacts, primarily through links to others' profiles and by posting photos of the peer group socialising offline.

Equally stylised, albeit employing a different aesthetic, and still focused on the reflexive tasks of self-observation and self-assessment, this later phase brings to mind Giddens' (1991: 91) argument that the 'pure relationship' is replacing the traditional relationship long embedded in structures of family, work or community. As he puts it, 'the pure relationship is reflexively organised, in an open fashion, and on a continuous basis', prioritising the values of authenticity, reciprocity, recognition and intimacy. Reminiscent of the concerns reflected by teenagers when talking about social networking, the continuous revision of the self is hinted at when Leo says, 'I'll always be adding new friends'. The implications for judging others are brought out not only by Ellie's emphasis, above, on people who are 'actually' friends and so know you already, but also by Ryan's observation about others that, 'you look at their pictures, see if they are authentic or not, so if they ain't got any comments and they're just adding people, then I can't believe them'. Now, too, we may see that Danny's omission of personal information on his profile is less a curious neglect of self than the prioritisation of a self embedded in social connections - for it is not that Danny cannot be bothered about networking: he sustains links with 299 friends and checks every day to see 'if I've got any, like, messages, new friend requests or anything like that, like, new comments'.

In terms of affordances, then, social networking sites frame, but do not determine. It remains open to young people to select a more or less complex representation of themselves linked to a more or less wide network of others. These choices pose advantages and disadvantages. Elaborating the presentation of self at the node supports the biographisation of the self by prioritising a managed and stylised display of identity as lifestyle. But it risks invasions of privacy, since the backstage self is on view (Goffman, 1959), potentially occasioning critical or abusive responses from others. Something of the associated anxiety is evident in Ryan's comment about his profile that 'hopefully people will like it - if they don't, then screw them'. The interlinking of opportunities and risks is also apparent when Danielle discusses how her friend used Piczo to express her unhappiness when her parents separated, 'because other people can advise you what to do or say, don't worry, you can go through it'; yet she is one of the few interviewees who talked about the risk of hostile comments, noting that 'sometimes the comments are cruel and they're [her friends] all crying and upset'.

Alternatively, identity may be elaborated in terms of the network, the node being relatively unembellished but resonant with meaning through its connections with selected others. As Marwick notes, social networking sites enable people 'to codify, map and view the relational ties between themselves and others' (2005: 3). Here, instead, the project of the self is more at risk in terms of one's standing in the network – do people visit your profile and leave comments, are you listed as anyone's top friend, etc. This concern may explain the routine yet highly absorbing activity of checking people's profiles and, in response, revising one's own, this often occupying one or more hours each day. Thus Jenny says, of MySpace, 'you look through other people's profiles and look through their pictures, different pictures of their mates and that...if someone gives me a comment I'll comment them back ... you get like addicted to it'. Billy, similarly, leaves about 20 comments per day; 'I go from one [profile] to another, like with my friends, I say hi, how are you?' Nicki adds that, by sending a quick comment, 'it feels like I've kind of kept in touch'. This time-consuming process of sustaining 'constant connection' with peers (Clark, 2005) can seem banal to the observing adult (parent or researcher), frequently blandly nice in character, and far from the claimed drama of revealing disclosures and risky encounters. But, as with the acts of recognition constitutive of offline social relations, it seems that these are necessary to reaffirm one's place within the peer network.

Creating private spaces for intimacy among 'friends'

Creating identity and social relations online is not only time-intensive and, on occasion, risky, but it can also be difficult to manage. In the interviews, the topic of privacy tended to point up ways in which the affordances of social networking sites limit teenagers' self-expression. Although there is much they express only offline, and although they generally set their profile to private (Lenhart and Madden, 2007), it is the case that teenagers may disclose personal information with up to several hundred people known only casually. This is, in part, because social networking sites typically display as standard precisely the personal information that previous generations have often regarded as private (notably, age, politics, income, religion, sexual preference). Thus to the parent generation, it may seem curious for Ellie to observe,

'I don't have any too personal things on it, like, I'm very happy to say I'm Jewish or [have] conservative political views and I'm happy to say my birthday or I'm from London. There's nothing too detailed that will give anyone too big a picture of me.'

Nonetheless, it would be mistaken to conclude that teenagers are unconcerned about their privacy. As Sophie says to those accusing her generation, 'I don't give stuff away that I'm not willing to share'. The question of what you show to others and what you keep private was often the liveliest part of the interviews, suggesting an intense interest in privacy. Teenagers described thoughtful decisions about what, how, and to whom they reveal personal information – drawing their own boundaries about what information to post and what to keep off the site, making deliberate choices that match their mode of communication (and its particular affordances) to particular communicative content. This suggests a definition of privacy not tied to the disclosure of certain types of information but, instead, a definition centred on having control over who knows what about you (Livingstone, 2006). Stein and Sinha (2002: 414) put this formally when they defined privacy as 'the rights of individuals to enjoy autonomy, to be left alone, and to determine whether and how information about one's self is revealed to others' (Stein and Sinha, 2002: 414).

The advantage of this definition is that it resolves the apparent paradox that the 'MySpace Generation' is both concerned about privacy

and yet readily discloses personal information (Barnes, 2006; Dwyer, in press). The point is that teenagers must and do disclose personal information in order to sustain intimacy, but they wish to be in control of how they manage this disclosure. As Giddens (1991: 94) says, 'intimacy is the other face of privacy'. However, two problems undermine teenagers' control over such disclosure. The first is that their notion of 'friends' is subtle while that of the social networking sites is, typically, binary, affording only a simple classification of contacts (e.g. for MySpace, your friends vs. all users; for Facebook, your network vs. all networks). Being required to decide whether personal information should be disclosed to 'friends' or to 'anyone' fails to capture the varieties of privacy that teenagers wish to sustain. Indeed, being visible to strangers (managed through setting one's profile to 'public') is not so much a concern, notwithstanding media panics about 'stranger danger' as that of being visible to known but inappropriate others – especially parents. As Jason explains:

'you don't mind [other] people reading it, but it's your parents, you don't really want your parents seeing it, because I don't really like my parents sort of looking through my room and stuff, because that's like my private space'.

He wishes his private space online, his profile, to be public to his friends but private to his parents. Thus Simon says, 'people that know us, it is probably going to be on like public for them'. The language of the privacy settings is, in short, itself confusing. But when Nina complains about Facebook that 'they should do something about making it more like private, because you can't really set your profile to private', something more subtle is being said. Nina is not confused about the settings – for in the language of social networking sites, her profile is 'set to private'. Rather, she is frustrated that her site does not allow her to discriminate who knows what about her within her 300 or so 'friends'. Indeed, unsurprisingly, teenagers classify their friends in a range of ways. When asked about her 554 friends on Facebook, Ellie describes friends from school,

friends from a holiday in Manchester, friends from the London Network, and so on. Though some reject this trend towards an ever-expanding social circle (Jason, for example, has only 39 friends because those are, he says, his real friends, and having hundreds of friends is 'pointless'), this does not mean those with many friends make no distinction among them. Nina's classification is graded in terms of intimacy:

'well, I have my best friends, and then I have friends that I'm good friends with, and then I have friends that I see every so often, and they're normally out of school friends... And then I have just people that I don't really talk to, but I know who they are, and maybe it's hi and bye in the corridor at school sort of friends'.

It is unclear to these teenagers how they can reflect such gradations of intimacy in managing who knows what about them, the privacy settings provided seeming inadequate to the task. Fahey (1995: 688) argues that:

'instead of speaking of a single public/private boundary, it may be more accurate to speak of a more complex re-structuring in a series of zones of privacy, not all of which fit easily with our standard images of what the public/private boundary is'.

Since these 'zones of privacy' are now partly managed online, at issue is the (mis)match between technological affordances and teenage conceptions of friendship. For teenagers are, of course, not primarily seeking to maintain their privacy from strangers (else they could simply turn off the computer). Rather, they are seeking to share their private experiences, to create spaces of intimacy, to be themselves in and through their connection with their friends.

Teenagers face a second problem also in managing their privacy online, and that concerns the relation between their internet literacy and the interface design of social networking sites and settings. A fair proportion of those interviewed hesitated when asked to show me how to change their privacy settings, often clicking on the wrong options before managing this task, and showing some nervousness about unintended consequences of changing settings (- both the risk of 'stranger danger' and parental approbation were referred to here, though they also told stories of viruses, crashed computers, unwanted advertising and unpleasant chain messages). For example, having set his profile to private, Billy tells me it can't be changed to public. Leo wanted his profile to be public, since it advertises his band, yet still says uncertainly, 'I might have ticked the box, but I'm not 100% sure if I did'. Or again, Ellie signed up for the London network instead of that for her school when she first joined Facebook and now can't change this, saying 'I probably can, but I'm not quite, I'm not so great that, I haven't learned all the tricks to it yet'. The result is that she sees the private information for thousands of Londoners but not that of her school mates. Unsurprisingly, then, when asked whether they would like to change anything about social networking, the operation of the privacy settings and the provision of private messaging on the sites are teenagers' top priorities, along with the elimination of spam and chain messages – both intrusions of their privacy.

These difficulties in managing privacy via privacy settings reflect broader internet literacy issues. For example, the top bar of a MySpace profile lists 'blog', 'groups', 'forum', 'events', 'music', 'film', and more. While I observed most of the teenagers to include music on their profile, when I asked about blogs, groups, or forums, I was often met with blank looks. Even 16 year old Danny, whose father works in computers and who says confidently, 'I know a lot about computers', was confused when asked about the group facility, saying 'I don't know if I've got a group... I didn't even know there was groups'. Ellie, on the other hand, has joined 163 groups – including the appreciation society for her local bus, one for a favourite programme, another for a charity she supports, etc. But she had hardly noticed and certainly does not use the blog, noting that 'I don't think any of my friends have either'. The limits of teenagers' supposedly exploratory and creative approach to social networking are, it seems, easily reached. Pragmatically, such difficulties are often 'resolved'

by simply ignoring sites' affordances (irrespective of whether these are well or poorly designed), this including not using the detailed privacy options provided by some sites.

But, as Ellie implies, this partial neglect of social networking site affordances reflects the shaping role of social expectations in the peer group. Designing a profile is not solely a matter of individual choice. It is geared towards others through the choice of site (- one must select that already used by one's friends), mode of address (- most say they put on their profile the content they consider their friends would enjoy) and, practically, by the moment of setting up a profile (- commonly achieved with the help of a friend who already uses the site). Literacy matters here too, for several of those I observed felt limited by the particular way the profile was initially set up by their friend, not always feeling able to alter this. A case in point is the misleading information about age often posted on their profiles, following the peer group belief (not necessarily accurate) that they were too young to be allowed on the site: Billy is typical in describing himself as 16 rather than 14 because the friend who set up his profile thought 16 the minimum age permitted), several have an official age (misleading) but also put their real age in elsewhere on their profile, and some use joke ages (Ryan, for example, says he's 98). Correcting misleading information later is not something they can do, several teenagers told me.

Conclusion

In late modernity, 'self-actualisation is understood in terms of a balance between opportunity and risk' (Giddens, 1991:78). Both the opportunities and the risks arise because self-actualisation is a social process. Selves are constituted through interaction with others and, for today's teenagers, self-actualisation increasingly includes a careful negotiation between the opportunities (for identity, intimacy, sociability) and risks (regarding privacy, misunderstanding, abuse) afforded by internet-me-

diated communication. Among this admittedly small sample of teenagers, younger teenagers were found to relish the opportunities to play and display, continuously re-recreating and a highly decorated, stylistically elaborate identity. Having experienced this 'phase', older teenagers tended to favour a plain aesthetic that foregrounds their links to others, expressing a notion of identity lived through authentic relationships with others. This apparent shift in phases of identity development may, I have suggested, have implications for teenagers' experience of online opportunities and risks.

Also influencing the balance between opportunities and risks online are the specific affordances of social networking sites, especially – as I have examined here – their conception of 'friends' and the provision of privacy settings. Teenagers were found to work with a subtle classification of 'friends', graded in terms of intimacy, which is poorly matched by the notion of 'public' and 'private' designed into social networking sites. While it is teenagers' desire for subtle gradation in levels of intimacy (rather than a desire for publicity or exhibitionism) that guides teenagers' approach to privacy online, I have suggested that in this regard they struggle in terms of internet literacy, impeded in turn by the affordances of the social networking sites.

For those focused on identity as display, online risks may arise from their willing, sometimes naive self-display of personal information to a wide circle of contacts, not all of whom are close friends or, sometimes, are even remembered. For those focused on identity as connection, online risks may arise from their very confidence that they can know, judge and trust the people with whom they are intimate, as well as from the possibility of being neglected or excluded from the peer group. Last, risks may also arise from the teenagers' limited internet literacy combined with confusing or poorly designed site settings, leaving them unclear regarding their control over who can see what about them. Each of these risks may only adversely affect a minority, but they render public policy measures (improved site design, internet literacy, parental guidance, etc) appropriate.

Last, it is worth noting that, rather than compromise their privacy too far, many of those interviewed choose to express their more personal experiences (as defined by them, not by adult society) using other modes of communication, on or offline. Danielle's unhappy friend, noted earlier, seems more the exception than the rule, and most of the teenagers interviewed were clear that they use social networking sites for only part, not all, of their social relations. For example, Ellie uses MSN for private conversations with her best friends and, like many others, for flirting. Nina, Daphne and most others talk to their best friends face to face or, again, by MSN. If upset, Joshua turns to neither phone, internet nor even a friend, but rather listens to loud rock music in his room. As Sophie explains, 'when you're moody, MySpace isn't really the best thing to go on...you can't really get across emotions on there because you're writing. It's good for making arrangements and stuff, but it's not good if you want a proper chat'. In other words, although to exist online, one must write oneself, and one's friendships and community, into being (boyd, 2006; Sunden, 2003), this does not mean one must thereby include every aspect of oneself. Deciding what not to say about oneself online is for many teenagers, an agentic act to protect their identity and their spaces of intimacy.

References

BAKARDJIEVA, M. (2005) Internet Society: The internet in everyday life. London: Sage.

BARNES, S., B. (2006) A Privacy Paradox: Social Networking in the United States [Electronic Version]. First Monday, 11 (9). Retrieved 09/03/2007 from http://www.firstmonday. org/ISSUES/issue11_9/barnes/

BOLTER, J. D. and R. Grusin (1999) Remediation: Understanding New Media. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.

BONEVA, B., A. Quinn, R. Kraut, S. Kiesler and I. Shklovski (2006. 'Teenage Communication in the Instant Messaging Era', in R. Kraut, M. Brynin and S. Kiesler (Eds.), Computers, Phones, and the Internet: Domesticating Information Technology. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press. (201-218).

- BOYD, d. (2006). Friends, Friendsters, and Top 8: Writing Community into Being on Social Network Sites [Electronic Version]. First Monday, 11 (12). Retrieved 09/03/2007 from http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issue11_12/boyd/
- BOYD, d., and Ellison, N. (2007). Social network sites: definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1), article 11. Retrieved 30/01/2008 from http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol13/issue1/boyd.ellison.html
- BUCHNER, P., M. D. Bois-Reymond and H.-H.Kruger (1995) 'Growing Up in Three European Regions', in L. Chisholm (Ed.), *Growing Up in Europe: Contemporary Horizons in Childhood and Youth Studies*, pp. 43-59. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- CLARK, L. S. (2005) "The constant contact generation: exploring teen friendship networks online", in S. Mazzarella (Ed.), *Girl Wide Web*, pp. 203-222. New York: Peter Lang.
- DWYER, C. (2007). Digital relationships in the 'MySpace' generation: Results from a qualitative study. Proceedings of the Fortieth Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press.
- ERIKSON, E. H. (1959/1980) *Identity and the life cycle*. New York: W.H.Norton and Co.
- FAHEY, T. (1995) 'Privacy and the family', Sociology, 29(4): 687-703.
- GADLIN, H. (1978) 'Child discipline and the pursuit of self: An historical interpretation', in H. W. Reese and L. P. Lipsitt (Eds.), *Advances in Child Development and Behavior*, vol. 12, pp. 231-261. New York: Academic Press.
- GIDDENS, A. (1991) Modernity and Self-Identity: Self and Society in the Late Modern Age. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- GOFFMAN, E. (1959) The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- GROSS, E. F. (2004) 'Adolescent Internet use: What we expect, what teens report', *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 25(6): 633-649.
- HAYTHORNTHWAITE, C. (2001) "Tie Strength and the Impact of New Media', paper presented at the HICSS 34, Hawaii, January 3-6, 2001.
- HINDUJA, S.; PATCHING, J., W. (2008) 'Personal Information of Adolescents on the Internet: A Quantitative Content Analysis of MySpace', *Journal of Adolescence* 31 (1), 125-146.
- HJARVARD, S. (2006) 'The Mediatization of Religion: A Theory of the Media as an Agent of Religious Change', paper presented at the 5th International Conference on Media, Religion and Culture, Sweden, July 6-9, 2006.
- HOPE, A. (2007) 'Risk Taking, Boundary Performance and Intentional School Internet "Misuse", Discourse 28(1): 87-99.

- HUTCHBY, I. (2001) 'Technologies, texts and affordances', Sociology, 35(2): 441-456.
- JAMES, A., C. Jenks and A. Prout (1998). Theorizing Childhood. Cambridge: CUP.
- JENKINS, H. (2006), Convergence Culture: Where old and new media collide. New York: New York University Press.
- KERR, M.H. Stattin (2000). 'What parents know, how they know it, and several forms of adolescent adjustment: Further support for a reinterpretation of monitoring', *Developmental Psychology*, 36(3): 366-380.
- LENHART, A.; MADDEN, M. (2007). Social Networking Websites and Teens: An Overview. Available at http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_SNS_Data_Memo_Jan_2007.pdf (Last accessed 20 Dec 2007).
- LIAU, A. K., KHOO A.; ANG P.H. (2005) 'Factors influencing adolescents' engagement in risky internet behavior', *CyberPsychology & Behaviour* 8(2): 513-520.
- LIVINGSTONE, S. (1998) 'Mediated childhoods: A comparative approach to young people's changing media environment in Europe', European Journal of Communication, 13(4): 435-456.
- LIVINGSTONE, S. (2002). Young People and New Media: Childhood and the Changing Media Environment. London: Sage.
- LIVINGSTONE, S. (2006). 'Children's Privacy Online: Experimenting with Boundaries Within and Beyond the Family', in R. Kraut, M. Brynin and S. Kiesler (eds.), Computers, Phones, and the Internet: Domesticating Information Technology, pp. 128-144. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- LIVINGSTONE, S., and E. J. Helsper (2007) "Taking risks when communication on the Internet: the role of offline social-psychological factors in young people's vulnerability to online risks', *Information, Communication & Society* 10(5): 619-644.
- MARWICK, A. (2005) "I'm a Lot More Interesting than a Friendster Profile": Identity Presentation, Authenticity and Power in Social Networking Services', paper presented at the Conference of the Association of Internet Researchers 6, Chicago, October 5-6, 2005.
- MEAD, G. H. (1934) Mind, Self and Society: From the Standpoint of a Social Behaviourist. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- MESCH, G. S., and I. Talmud (2007) 'Similarity and the Quality of Online and Offline Social Relationships among Adolescents in Israel', *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 17(2): 455-466.

- NIELSEN//NetRatings (2007) "Facebook & Bebo: The Assault on MySpace" (28 June 2007) p.1. Retrieved 30/01/2008 from http://www.nielsennetratings.com/pr/pr_070628_UK.pdf
- SILVERSTONE, R. (2006) 'Domesticating domestication: Reflections on the life of a concept', in T. Berker, M. Hartmann, Y. Punie and K. J. Ward (eds.), *The Domestication of Media and Technology*, pp. 229-248. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- STEIN, L.; SINHA, N. (2002) 'New Global Media and Communication Policy: the Role of the State in Twenty-First Century', in L. Lievrouw and S. Livingstone (eds.), *Handbook of New Media: Social Shaping and Consequences of ICTs*, pp. 410-431. London: Sage.
- SUNDÉN, J. (2003) Material Virtualities: Approaching Online Textual Embodiment. New York: Peter Lang.
- WOLAK, J., MITCHELL K. J.; FINKELHOR D. (2006) Online victimization of youth: Five years later. University of New Hampshire: National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Durham, New Hampshire.
- ZIEHE, T. (1994) 'From living standard to life style', *Young: Nordic Journal of Youth Research* 2(2): 2-16.